## Conditional Propositions, a.k.a. Implications Tanya Schmah

The **conditional** connective,  $\rightarrow$ , means "implies".

 $p \rightarrow q$  means "p implies q", or "if p, then q".

(See handout "Logical Connectives" for other ways of expressing implication in English.)

It is defined by the following truth table:

p	q	$p \to q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

The last two rows may be a bit mysterious. In one sense, it's just a definition, and if you take it that way, you'll do fine. But *why* is the conditional defined this way?

## Explanation 1

Consider the sentence "If x < 1 then x < 2." This seems obviously true by common sense.

But in propositional logic, it's not even a proposition unless we agree on the value of x. Suppose the value of x is known, and let p be "x < 1" and q be "x < 2". Then  $p \to q$  means "If x < 1 then x < 2." for the particular known value of x.

If we want propositional logic to model our common sense logic, then  $p \to q$  has to be true, for *all* values of x.

So for example:

"If (0 < 1) then (0 < 2)" has to be true.

and:

"If (3 < 1) then (3 < 2)" has to be true too.

The last example corresponds to the last line in the truth table.

Explanation 2 (for those who have studied sets)

Let A and B be sets. The definition of  $A \subseteq B$ , i.e. A is a subset of B, is: if  $x \in A$  then  $x \in B$ . For particular values of x, A and B, let p be " $x \in A$ " and q be " $x \in B$ ". Then the definition of  $A \subseteq B$  is that  $p \to q$  for all values of x.

What if A is the empty set,  $\emptyset$ ? In set theory, by definition,  $\emptyset \subseteq B$  for all B.

So "If  $x \in A$  then  $x \in B$ " is considered to be true for all values of x, A and B, even if  $A = \emptyset$  (in which case p is false, no matter what x is).

This means that  $p \to q$  is considered to be true when p is false, regardless of the truth value of q. This last sentence corresponds to the last two rows of the truth table.

**Moral:** In order for propositional logic to be consistent with common sense reasoning and with set theory, the truth table for the conditional has to be the way it is.